Dear Sissi,

7/3/84

These copies are from my writing subject file. All are from FBIHQ 44-38861, the so-called MURKIN file. I had them stapled together but I presume they are individual records provided as a result of compelled reprocessing. I made copies of them for subject filing for about the reason that interests Henry.

As you can see, it was not only in the newspaper clipping that the FBI withheld the names of agents that were in the public domain. It is merely that it is more ridiculous to withhold from a published story and claim "privacy."

I did not make an issue of the names not written back in but they are all public domain because the names of those subpoenaed are public domain and in this instance also were published, along with the names of all others subpoenaed.

If this were not enough, the Lab agents testify at trials, or in public.

And the names of the field office agents are all disclosed in the disclosed field office files.

The lawsuit is C.A. 75-1996, in which I prevailed. It is now before the appeals court because the DJ/FBI have taken it there on collateral issues, like their refusal to pay the court-awarded counsel fees and expenses.

Of course Bonebrake's name was published around the world when he was the one live prosecution witness at the extradition and when he was charged with contempt in Memphis.

Best,